

Lincoln D. Bandlow, Esq. (CA #170449)
Lincoln@BandlowLaw.com
Law Offices of Lincoln Bandlow, PC
1801 Century Park East, Suite 2400
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Phone: (310) 556-9680
Fax: (310) 861-5550

Attorney for Plaintiff
Strike 3 Holdings, LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JOHN DOE subscriber assigned IP
address 76.168.138.18,

Defendant.

Case Number:

**COMPLAINT FOR COPYRIGHT
INFRINGEMENT - DEMAND FOR
JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, Strike 3 Holdings, LLC (“Strike 3” or “Plaintiff”), brings this
complaint against Defendant, John Doe subscriber assigned IP address
76.168.138.18 (“Defendant”), and alleges as follows:

Introduction

1. This is a case about the ongoing and wholesale copyright
infringement of Plaintiff’s motion pictures by Defendant, currently known only by
an IP address.

2. Plaintiff is the owner of award-winning, critically acclaimed adult
motion pictures.

3. Strike 3’s motion pictures are distributed through the *Blacked*, *Tushy*,
Vixen, *Tushy Raw*, *Blacked Raw*, and *Slayed* adult websites and DVDs. With

1 millions of unique visitors to its websites each month, the brands are famous for
 2 redefining adult content, creating high-end, artistic, and performer-inspiring
 3 motion pictures produced with a Hollywood style budget and quality.

4 4. Defendant is, in a word, stealing these works on a grand scale. Using
 5 the BitTorrent protocol, Defendant is committing rampant and wholesale
 6 copyright infringement by downloading Strike 3's motion pictures as well as
 7 distributing them to others. Defendant did not infringe just one or two of Strike
 8 3's motion pictures. Rather, Defendant has been recorded infringing 67 movies
 9 over an extended period of time.

10 5. Although Defendant attempted to hide this theft by infringing
 11 Plaintiff's content anonymously, Defendant's Internet Service Provider ("ISP"),
 12 Spectrum, can identify Defendant through his or her IP address 76.168.138.18.

13 6. This is a civil action seeking damages under the United States
 14 Copyright Act of 1976, *as amended*, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the "Copyright
 15 Act").

16 **Jurisdiction and Venue**

17 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to
 18 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question); and 28 U.S.C. § 1338 (jurisdiction over
 19 copyright actions).

20 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because
 21 Defendant used an Internet Protocol address ("IP address") traced to a physical
 22 address located within this District to commit copyright infringement. Therefore:
 23 (i) Defendant committed the tortious conduct alleged in this Complaint in this
 24 State; (ii) Defendant resides in this State and/or; (iii) Defendant has engaged in
 25 substantial – and not isolated – business activity in this State.

26 9. Plaintiff used IP address geolocation technology by Maxmind Inc.
 27 ("Maxmind"), an industry-leading provider of IP address intelligence and online
 28 fraud detection tools, to determine that Defendant's IP address traced to a physical

1 address in this District. Over 5,000 companies, along with United States federal
 2 and state law enforcement, use Maxmind's GeoIP data to locate Internet visitors,
 3 perform analytics, enforce digital rights, and efficiently route Internet traffic.

4 10. To ensure that Defendant's IP address accurately traced to this
 5 District, Plaintiff inputted Defendant's IP address into Maxmind's GeoIP database
 6 twice: first when it learned of the infringement and again, just prior to filing this
 7 lawsuit.

8 11. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), venue is proper in this
 9 District because: (i) a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the
 10 claims occurred in this District; and, (ii) the Defendant resides (and therefore can
 11 be found) in this District and resides in this State. Additionally, venue is proper in
 12 this District pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a) (venue for copyright cases) because
 13 Defendant or Defendant's agent resides or may be found in this District.

14 **Parties**

15 12. Plaintiff, Strike 3 is a Delaware limited liability company located at
 16 2140 S. Dupont Hwy, Camden, DE.

17 13. Plaintiff currently can only identify Defendant by his or her IP
 18 address. Defendant's IP address is 76.168.138.18. Defendant's name and address
 19 can be provided by Defendant's Internet Service Provider.

20 **Factual Background**

21 ***Plaintiff's Award-Winning Copyrights***

22 14. Strike 3's subscription-based websites proudly boast a paid
 23 subscriber base that is one of the highest of any adult-content sites in the world.
 24 Strike 3 also licenses its motion pictures to popular broadcasters and Strike 3's
 25 motion pictures are the number one selling adult DVDs in the United States.

26 15. Strike 3's motion pictures and websites have won numerous awards,
 27 such as "best cinematography," "best new studio," and "adult site of the year."

28 16. Strike 3's motion pictures have had positive global impact, leading

1 more adult studios to invest in better content, higher pay for performers, and to
2 treat each performer with respect and like an artist.

3 17. Unfortunately, like a large number of other makers of motion picture
4 and television works, Strike 3 is the victim of mass Internet piracy. Often
5 appearing among the most infringed popular entertainment content on torrent
6 websites, Strike 3's motion pictures are among the most pirated content in the
7 world.

8 ***Defendant Used the BitTorrent File Distribution Network***
9 ***to Infringe Plaintiff's Copyrights***

10 18. BitTorrent is a system designed to quickly distribute large files over
11 the Internet. Instead of downloading a file, such as a movie, from a single source,
12 BitTorrent users are able to connect to the computers of other BitTorrent users in
13 order to simultaneously download and upload pieces of the file from and to other
14 users.

15 19. BitTorrent's popularity stems from the ability of users to directly
16 interact with each other to distribute a large file without creating a heavy load on
17 any individual source computer and/or network. It enables Plaintiff's motion
18 pictures, which are often filmed in state of the art 4KHD, to be transferred quickly
19 and efficiently.

20 20. To share a movie within the BitTorrent network, a user first uses
21 BitTorrent software to create a .torrent file from the original digital media file.
22 This process breaks the original digital media file down into numerous pieces.

23 21. The entire movie file being shared has a hash value (*i.e.*, the "File
24 Hash"). A hash value is an alpha-numeric value of a fixed length that uniquely
25 identifies data.

26 22. Hash values are not arbitrarily assigned to data merely for
27 identification purposes, but rather are the product of a cryptographic algorithm
28 applied to the data itself. As such, while two identical sets of data will produce

1 the same cryptographic hash value, any change to the underlying data – no matter
2 how small – will change the cryptographic hash value that correlates to it.

3 23. To find and re-assemble the pieces of the digital media file, *i.e.*, to
4 download the file using BitTorrent, a user must obtain the .torrent file for the
5 specific file that has been broken down into pieces.

6 24. Each .torrent file contains important metadata with respect to the
7 pieces of the file. When this data is put into the cryptographic algorithm, it results
8 in a hash value called the “Info Hash.”

9 25. The “Info Hash” is the data that the BitTorrent protocol uses to
10 identify and locate the other pieces of the desired file (in this case, the desired file
11 is the respective file for the infringing motion pictures that are the subject of this
12 action) across the BitTorrent network.

13 26. Using the Info Hash in the metadata of a .torrent file, a user may
14 collect all the pieces of the digital media file that correlates with the specific
15 .torrent file.

16 27. Once a user downloads all of the pieces of that digital media file from
17 other BitTorrent users, the digital media file is automatically reassembled into its
18 original form, ready for playing.

19 28. Plaintiff has developed, owns, and operates an infringement detection
20 system, named “VXN Scan.”

21 29. Using VXN Scan, Plaintiff discovered that Defendant used the
22 BitTorrent file network to illegally download and distribute Plaintiff’s copyrighted
23 motion pictures.

24 30. To explain, while Defendant was using the BitTorrent file
25 distribution network, VXN Scan established direct TCP/IP connections with
26 Defendant’s IP address.

27 31. VXN Scan downloaded from Defendant one or more pieces of
28 numerous digital media files.

1 32. Plaintiff identified these pieces as portions of infringing copies of
2 Strike 3's motion pictures.

3 33. To explain, the VXN Scan system first searched for and obtained
4 .torrent files claiming to be infringing copies of Plaintiff's works, and then
5 downloaded complete copies of the digital media files that correlate to those
6 .torrent files.

7 34. Plaintiff then compared the completed digital media files to
8 Plaintiff's copyrighted works to determine whether they are infringing copies of
9 one of Plaintiff's copyrighted works.

10 35. The digital media files have been verified to contain a digital copy of
11 a motion picture that is identical (or, alternatively, strikingly similar or
12 substantially similar) to Plaintiff's corresponding original copyrighted Works.

13 36. VXN Scan then used the "Info Hash" value, contained within the
14 metadata of the .torrent file correlated with a digital media file that was
15 determined to be identical (or substantially similar) to a copyrighted work, to
16 download a piece (or pieces) of the same digital media file from Defendant using
17 the BitTorrent network.

18 37. At no point did VXN Scan upload content to any BitTorrent user.
19 Indeed, it is incapable of doing so.

20 38. The VXN Scan captured transactions from Defendant sharing
21 specific pieces of 67 digital media files that have been determined to be identical
22 (or substantially similar) to a copyrighted work(s) that Plaintiff owns.

23 39. VXN Scan recorded each transaction in a PCAP file.

24 40. VXN Scan recorded multiple transactions in this matter.

25 41. For each work infringed a single transaction is listed on Exhibit A.

26 42. For each transaction listed, Exhibit A sets forth the Universal Time
27 Coordinated (UTC) time and date of each transaction, along with (1) the Info
28 Hash value obtained from the metadata of the corresponding .torrent file that

1 formed the basis of the VXN Scan's request for data, and (2) the File Hash value
2 of the digital media file itself.

3 43. Exhibit A also sets forth relevant copyright information for each
4 work at issue: the date of publication, the date of registration, and the work's
5 copyright registration number. In a showing of good faith, Plaintiff has
6 intentionally omitted the title of the work from this public filing due to the adult
7 nature of its content, but can provide a version of Exhibit A containing the works'
8 titles to the Court or any party upon request.

9 44. Thus, Defendant downloaded, copied, and distributed Plaintiff's
10 Works without authorization.

11 45. Defendant's infringement was continuous and ongoing.

12 46. Plaintiff owns the copyrights to the Works and the Works have been
13 registered with the United States Copyright Office.

14 47. Plaintiff seeks statutory damages, attorneys' fees, and costs under
15 17 U.S.C. § 501 of the United States Copyright Act.

16 **COUNT I**

17 **Direct Copyright Infringement**

18 48. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-47 are hereby re-alleged as
19 if fully set forth herein.

20 49. Plaintiff is the owner of the Works, which are each an original work
21 of authorship.

22 50. Defendant copied and distributed the constituent elements of
23 Plaintiff's Works using the BitTorrent protocol.

24 51. At no point in time did Plaintiff authorize, permit or consent to
25 Defendant's copying, distribution, performance and/or display of its Works,
26 expressly or otherwise.

27 52. As a result of the foregoing, Defendant violated Plaintiff's exclusive
28 right to:

1 (A) Reproduce its Works in copies, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(1)
2 and 501;

3 (B) Distribute copies of the Works to the public by sale or other transfer
4 of ownership, or by rental, lease or lending, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(3)
5 and 501;

6 (C) Perform the copyrighted Works, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(4)
7 and 501, by showing the Works' images in any sequence and/or by making the
8 sounds accompanying the Works' audible and transmitting said performance of
9 the work, by means of a device or process, to members of the public capable of
10 receiving the display (as set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 101's definitions of "perform"
11 and "publicly" perform); and

12 (D) Display the copyrighted Works, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(5)
13 and 501, by showing individual images of the works non-sequentially and
14 transmitting said display of the works by means of a device or process to members
15 of the public capable of receiving the display (as set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 101's
16 definition of "publicly" display).

17 53. Defendant's infringements were committed "willfully" within the
18 meaning of 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2).

19 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

20 (A) Permanently enjoin Defendant from continuing to infringe Plaintiff's
21 copyrighted Works;

22 (B) Order that Defendant delete and permanently remove the digital
23 media files relating to Plaintiff's Works from each of the computers under
24 Defendant's possession, custody or control;

25 (C) Order that Defendant delete and permanently remove the infringing
26 copies of the Works Defendant has on computers under Defendant's possession,
27 custody or control;

28 (D) Award Plaintiff statutory damages per infringed work pursuant to

1 17 U.S.C. § 504(a) and (c);

2 (E) Award Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to
3 17 U.S.C. § 505; and

4 (F) Grant Plaintiff any other and further relief this Court deems just and
5 proper.

6 DATED this 13th day of March, 2023.

7 **Law Offices of Lincoln Bandlow, PC**

8 *s/ Lincoln D. Bandlow*

9 Lincoln D. Bandlow
10 Attorney for Plaintiff
Strike3 Holdings, LLC

11 **DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL**

12 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

13 DATED this 13th day of March, 2023.

14 **Law Offices of Lincoln Bandlow, PC**

15 *s/ Lincoln D. Bandlow*

16 Lincoln D. Bandlow
17 Attorney for Plaintiff
Strike3 Holdings, LLC